**Assessment Committee MEETING AGENDA**

**Date**: November 29, 2021| **Time**: 12-1PM | **Location**: ZOOM | **Recorder**: Elizabeth Carney

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **COMMITMENTS** | | | | | | | | |
| **Date** | **Who** | **What** | | | **Committed To** | | **When** | |
|  | Elizabeth | DEI training | | | Scheduling | |  | |
|  | | | | | | | | |
| **­ Topic/Item** | | | **Facilitator** | **Allotted Time** | | **Key Points Provide 50 words or less on expected outcome** | | **Category** |
| **Meta-rubric for assessment** | | | Elizabeth | 40 min | | Continue from our last meeting.  Many colleges use a “meta-rubric” to evaluate the program assessment process. We’ll look at a few examples and talk about if/how such a rubric review could benefit us. | | Discussion  Decision  Advocacy  Information |
| **Gen-ed subcommittee** | | | Elizabeth | 10 min | | Decide next steps | | Discussion  Decision  Advocacy  Information |
| **Meetings next term** | | | Elizabeth | 5 min | | Confirm/discuss schedule | | Discussion  Decision  Advocacy  Information |



|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **FUTURE AGENDA ITEMS FOR MEETINGS** | | | | |
| **Topic/Item** | **Facilitator** | **Key Points Provide 50 words or less on expected outcome** | | **Category** |
| Year 7 Accreditation Report  Related Instruction Assessment |  |  | | Discussion  Decision  Advocacy  Information |
| **Upcoming Meeting Dates** | **Start Time** | **End time** | **Location** | |
| **tbd** |  |  | Zoom | |
| **MEMBERS** | Jennifer Bown, Elizabeth Carney, April Chastain, Jil Freeman, Shalee Hodgson, Jason Kovac, Kelly Mercer, Dave Mount, Lisa Nielson, Russel Pasewald, Lisa Reynolds, Ashley Sears, Yvonne Smith, Mary Jean Williams **Start time Location** | | | |